



GCSE MARKING SCHEME

SUMMER 2025

**GCSE
MATHEMATICS – NUMERACY
UNIT 2 – INTERMEDIATE TIER
3310U40-1**

About this marking scheme

The purpose of this marking scheme is to provide teachers, learners, and other interested parties, with an understanding of the assessment criteria used to assess this specific assessment.

This marking scheme reflects the criteria by which this assessment was marked in a live series and was finalised following detailed discussion at an examiners' conference. A team of qualified examiners were trained specifically in the application of this marking scheme. The aim of the conference was to ensure that the marking scheme was interpreted and applied in the same way by all examiners. It may not be possible, or appropriate, to capture every variation that a candidate may present in their responses within this marking scheme. However, during the training conference, examiners were guided in using their professional judgement to credit alternative valid responses as instructed by the document, and through reviewing exemplar responses.

Without the benefit of participation in the examiners' conference, teachers, learners and other users, may have different views on certain matters of detail or interpretation. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that this marking scheme is used alongside other guidance, such as published exemplar materials or Guidance for Teaching. This marking scheme is final and will not be changed, unless in the event that a clear error is identified, as it reflects the criteria used to assess candidate responses during the live series.

WJEC GCSE MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY

SUMMER 2025 MARK SCHEME

GCSE Numeracy Unit 2: Intermediate Tier	Mark	Comments
1(a) 160 ($\pm 2^\circ$)	B1	
1(b) $152 \div 1.6$ or $152 \times 5 \div 8$ 95 (miles)	M1 A1	Allow $152 \div 1.609$ or $152 \div 1.61$ Allow A1 for 94.4(...) or 94.5 (from $152 \div 1.609$ or $152 \div 1.61$)

[illegible]

2. Organisation and communication Writing	OC1 W1	For OC1, candidates will be expected to: • present their response in a structured way • explain to the reader what they are doing at each step of their response • lay out their explanations and working in a way that is clear and logical • write a conclusion that draws together their results and explains what their answer means For W1, candidates will be expected to: • show all their working • make few, if any, errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar • use correct mathematical form in their working • use appropriate terminology, units, etc.
3(a) 378 g dark chocolate 72 g cocoa powder 225 g yoghurt 45 g sugar	B3	B2 for 2 or 3 correct quantities B1 for any one of the following: • 1 correct quantity • appropriate sight of 4.5, $18 \div 4$ or $9 \div 2$, may be implied by appropriate addition seen, e.g. $84 + 84 + 84 + 84 + \frac{1}{2}$ of 84, $4 \times 16 + \frac{1}{2}$ of 16
3(b) (yoghurt : sugar) 5 : 1 and (dark chocolate : cocoa powder) 21 : 4	B2	FT from (a) for equivalent difficulty for B2 or B1 Mark the answer space unless blank or shows the original ratios before working B1 for any one of the following: • (yoghurt : sugar) 5 : 1 • (dark chocolate : cocoa powder) 21 : 4 • 21 : 4 and 5 : 1 • 1 : 5 and 4 : 21 • 50 : 10 and 84 : 16 both with one stage of simplification leading to ratios with integer amounts less than those given in the original recipe, e.g. - o (yoghurt : sugar) 25 : 5, 10 : 2, 15 : 3, 45 : 9 - and - o (dark chocolate : cocoa powder) 63 : 12, 42 : 8
4. $28350 \div 2$ or $\frac{1}{2} \times 28350$ 14175 (cm²)	M1 A1	Mark final answer
5. (a =) 65 (°) (b =) 115 (°) (c =) 115 (°) (d =) 80 (°)	B1 B1 B1 B1	<u>No FT for an angle of 0°, 90°, 180° and 360°</u> FT 180 – ‘their a’ FT ‘their b’ FT 145 – ‘their a’, provided ‘their a’ $\neq 72.5^\circ$
6(a) (Percentage profit =) $\frac{1140 - 600}{600} (\times 100)$ or $\frac{1140}{600} (\times 100) - 1 (\times 100)$ or $0.9 (\times 100)$ 90(%)	M1 A1	If no marks, award SC1 for an answer of 190(%) (from $\frac{1140}{600} \times 100$)

8. (Average speed $\Rightarrow$) $\frac{10+4}{0.75+1}$ or $\frac{10+4 \times 60}{45+60}$ or equivalent 8 (km/h)	M2 A1	M1 for idea of total distance/ total time, e.g. $\frac{10+4}{45+1}, \frac{7}{23}, 0.304\dots$ $\frac{10+4}{45+60}, \frac{2}{15}, 0.133\dots$ $\frac{10+4}{1.45}, \frac{280}{29}, 9\frac{19}{29}, 9.655\dots$ CAO
9. $30\,000 \times 1.06^{10} \times 1.11^{15}$ Answer in the range (£) 257 050 to (£) 257 055	M2 A1	May be seen in stages M1 for appropriate sight of $\times 1.06^{10}$ or $\times 1.11^{15}$ 'Year by year' methods must convincingly show intention to multiply by 1.06 or 1.11 (or equivalent) each year for the correct number of years, allowing rounding or truncation to a pound each year Accept (£) 257 000 or (£) 257 100 provided not from incorrect working Mark final answer
10(a)(i) Midpoints: 4000.5, 6000.5, 8000.5, 10 000.5 $22 \times 4000(.5) + 30 \times 6000(.5) + 42 \times 8000(.5) + 6 \times 10000(.5)$ $(88011 + 180015 + 336021 + 60003 = 664050)$ $(88000 + 180000 + 336000 + 60000 = 664000)$ $\div 100$ 6640(.5 steps)	B1 M1 m1 A1	Accept 4000, 6000, 8000, 10 000 or 4001, 6001, 8001, 10 001 throughout FT 'their midpoints' provided at least 3 lie within the appropriate group, including bounds throughout Accept 6641 (steps) provided not from incorrect working ISW
10(a)(ii) 'Not possible to tell' unambiguously selected AND an appropriate reason, e.g. 'don't know how many steps the people in the last group actually did', 'some of the 6 people in the last group might have done more than 10 000 steps'	E1	Ignore additional incorrect or spurious statements Allow 'Not possible to tell' with the reason, e.g.: 'don't know the number of steps people did', 'grouped data', 'data is grouped', 'exact data not given', 'data is not specified', 'it doesn't specify how many of the 6 people did 10 000 steps', 'it doesn't specify how many people did 10 000 steps', 'it shows 6 people in the group 9001 to 11 000 steps', '6 people walked between 9001 and 11 000 steps', 'any of the 6 in the group 9001 to 11 000 could have done 10 000', 'it shows 6 people did more than 9001 but less than 11 000', 'we don't know how many steps they did accurately', 'the number of steps category goes above 10 000', 'someone in the last group may not know exactly how many steps they did' Do not accept, 'Not possible to tell' with a reason, e.g. 'it is only an estimate', 'the maximum is 11 000 steps'

10(b)(i) $(18 \times 5802 + 4 \times 9830 + 3 \times 12200) \div 25$ or $(4 \times 18 \times 5802 + 4 \times 4 \times 9830 + 4 \times 3 \times 12200) \div 100$ 7214(.24 steps)	M2 A1	$(= (104436 + 39320 + 36600) \div 25 = 180356 \div 25)$ $(= (417744 + 157280 + 146400) \div 100 = 721424 \div 100)$ M1 for any one of the following: $18 \times 5802 + 4 \times 9830 + 3 \times 12200$ $4 \times 18 \times 5802 + 4 \times 4 \times 9830 + 4 \times 3 \times 12200$ - sum of 3 products with at least one of these being the correct products, with division by 25 or 100 as appropriate Allow 7215 provided not from incorrect working
10(b)(ii) Suitable reason, e.g. 'majority of the people were in the group that didn't meet their target', '72% were in the group that didn't achieve the target', 'only 28% (or $\frac{7}{25}$) of the people were in the two groups that met their target'	E1	Ignore additional incorrect or spurious statements Allow, e.g. 'most of the people didn't meet their target', 'more people in group A', '2 of the 3 groups does not mean two thirds', 'data is grouped, we don't know how many people met the target', 'there is not an equal number of people in each group', 'different number of people in each group', 'different amounts in each group' Do not accept, e.g. 'groups B and C met their target', 'some people in a group could have met the target but others didn't', 'because 5802 out of 8000 in group A', 'because there were more people in the group', 'the group that did not meet their target could be a long way from their target', 'they don't say which groups reached their targets', 'they were grouped into different capabilities', 'it only shows the mean for each of the 3 groups', 'data is grouped', 'not all the targets were the same', 'group A did not reach their target'
11. (Angle between the ground and the ladder =) $\tan^{-1} 4/(1)$ 75.96(...°) or 76(°)	M2 A1	Allow an alternative full method M1 $\tan(\text{angle between ground and ladder}) = 4/(1)$ ISW Allow 75(°) or 75.9(°) provided not from incorrect working

12(a) For an appropriate method to calculate a missing angle: $\frac{243}{931} \times 360 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{243}{362} \times 140 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{243}{569} \times 220$ $\frac{119}{931} \times 360 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{119}{362} \times 140 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{119}{569} \times 220$ (Food service) 93.9(663...°) or 94(°) AND (Retail sector) 46(.015...°) Pie chart completed correctly with labels for Food service AND Retail sector	M1 A1 A2	Or equivalent with consistent place value when attempting to write in millions in full <u>In the calculations</u> shown on the left, allow appropriate sight of the following: • 243 + 119 for 362 • 360 – 220 for 140 If no working shown, M1 may be implied by the appropriate sight of correct (or allowed) angles Do not award A mark from incorrect method, M0 A0, (e.g. $140 \div 3 = 46.66\ldots^\circ$) Allow A1 for <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Food service</u></th> <th></th> <th><u>Retail sector</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>• 93(°)</td> <td>and</td> <td>46(°)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>• 93(°)</td> <td>and</td> <td>47(°)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>• 93.4(°) to 94.2(°)</td> <td>and</td> <td>45.7(°) to 46.2(°) *</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> *from premature approximation of 1° represents 2.58(...), 2.59 or 2.6 million people Award M1 A0 A0 for 97.2° and 47.6° (from premature approximation of 1° represents 2.5 million people, $243 \div 2.5$ and $119 \div 2.5$) Award M0 A0 A0 for 94.5° and 46.277..° (from $\frac{140}{360} \times 243$ and $\frac{140}{360} \times 119$) Tolerance for angles $\pm 2^\circ$ For A2 or A1 FT 'their derived angles' provided the sum of 'their derived angles' = $140^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ (and at least M1 previously awarded) A1 for the pie chart completed correctly without labels An <u>unsupported</u> correct pie chart, with no angles stated in the table, is awarded SC2 with labels, or SC1 without labels, not from sight of incorrect angles in the table	<u>Food service</u>		<u>Retail sector</u>	• 93(°)	and	46(°)	• 93(°)	and	47(°)	• 93.4(°) to 94.2(°)	and	45.7(°) to 46.2(°) *
<u>Food service</u>		<u>Retail sector</u>												
• 93(°)	and	46(°)												
• 93(°)	and	47(°)												
• 93.4(°) to 94.2(°)	and	45.7(°) to 46.2(°) *												
12(b)(i) Three	B1													

12(b)(ii) (France per person) answer in the range 84(kg) to 85 (kg) (In the range) 1.27 to 1.4 (times)	B2 B2	B1 for appropriate sight of (France per person) or equivalent, e.g. $5\,522\,358\,000 \div 65\,400\,000$ (= 84.4...) $5\,520\,000\,000 \div 65\,400\,000$ (= 84.4...) $5\,500\,000\,000 \div 65\,400\,000$ (= 84.09...) $5\,500\,000\,000 \div 65\,000\,000$ (= 84.6...) $6\,000\,000\,000 \div 70\,000\,000$ (= 85.7...) Accept an equivalent fraction in this range FT provided at least B1 previously awarded, for ‘their France per person’ $\div$ China per person accurately evaluated, provided $61 \leq \text{‘their China per person’} \leq 66$ B1 for appropriate sight of ‘China per person’ evaluated, allow rounded or truncated to an integer value, e.g. $91\,646\,213\,000 \div 1\,440\,000\,000 = 63.6..$ $91\,600\,000\,000 \div 1\,440\,000\,000 = 63.6..$ $92\,000\,000\,000 \div 1\,440\,000\,000 = 63.8..$ $92\,000\,000\,000 \div 1\,400\,000\,000 = 65.7..$ $92\,000\,000\,000 \div 1\,500\,000\,000 = 61.3..$ $90\,000\,000\,000 \div 1\,000\,000\,000 = 90$ The answers in the statement takes precedence In this question, if 1 of the 2 numbers shown in an appropriate division has an initial ‘factor of 10’ place value error, treat this as a misread allowing a possible B1 (rather than B2) in either stage, may be implied by ‘their answer’
12(c)(i) Answer in the range 278 to 284 (people per km²)	B2	B1 for appropriate sight of any one of the following: 67 600 000 $\div$ 242 500 - use of approximations, e.g. 67 600 000 $\div$ 243 000 (= 278.18...) 68 000 000 $\div$ 243 000 (= 279.8...) 68 000 000 $\div$ 240 000 (= 283.3...) 70 000 000 $\div$ 200 000 (= 350) The answer in the statement takes precedence In this question, if one of the two numbers shown has an initial ‘factor of 10’ place value error, treat this as a misread, allowing possible B1 (for appropriate division), may be implied by ‘their answer’

12(c)(ii) $5\,199\,825\,000$ $\div 1000$ $\div 242\,500$ Answer in the range 21 to 22 (tonnes)	M1 M1 A1	Allow suitable approximation, e.g. 5 200 000 000 or 5 000 000 000 <u>Method marks may be awarded in either order</u> May be implied in working, e.g. starting 5 199 825 Allow suitable approximation, e.g. 200 000 or 240 000 or 242 000 or 243 000 Only accept answers in the range, however allow 20 (tonnes) provided not from incorrect working Answer space takes precedence If no marks, award SC1 for an unsupported answer with digits 214(4...) In this question, excluding 1000, if one of the other 2 numbers shown has an initial 'factor of 10' place value error, treat this as a misread
13(a)(i) 900 (%)	B1	
13(a)(ii) $\frac{20}{25} \times 7.7 \div 6.6 \quad (\times 100)$ or equivalent (% of the 70% cocoa bar is) 93(.333... %)	M2 A1	May be seen in stages Accept equivalents to $\frac{20}{25}$, e.g. $\frac{4}{5}$, 0.8 or $\frac{80}{100}$ M1 for appropriate sight of any one of the following, or equivalent, may be embedded in further working: $\frac{20}{25} \times 7.7$ (= 6.16) $\frac{20}{25} \div 6.6$ (= 0.1212...) $7.7 \div 6.6$ (= 1.1666...) $6.6 \div 7.7$ (= 0.85714...) Allow an answer that rounds to 93(%) provided not from incorrect working FT from M1 to award A1 for the mass of the bar eaten ($20 \times 7.7 \div 6.6$ =) 23(.33...g) If no marks, award SC1 for an answer of 68.57(..%) 68.6(%) or 69(%) (from $100 \times \frac{20}{25} \times 6.6 \div 7.7$), i.e. starting with the incorrect bar

13(b) (Side of right-angled triangle $(68 - 60) \div 2 =$ 4 (mm)) $4^2 + \text{thickness}^2 = 7^2$ or $(\text{thickness}^2 =) 7^2 - 4^2$ thickness² = 33 or (thickness =) $\sqrt{33}$ (Thickness =) 5.7(4...mm) AND indicates 'True'	B1 M1 A1 A2	Accept seen on the diagram or implied in further working FT 'their 4' provided < 7 Allow appropriate sight of $5.7(4...) = 6$ as implication of 'True' provided not contradicted in the answer box Ignore additional spurious statements A1 for 5.7(4...mm) FT from M1 for the correctly evaluated square root of 'their 33' provided 'their answer' < 7 (mm) for: either A2 (with appropriate conclusion true or false) or A1 (if no conclusion) If M0 A0 A0, award: either SC2 for 3.6(0555 mm) (from $\sqrt{7^2 - 6^2} = \sqrt{13}$) or SC1 for $x^2 = 7^2 - 6^2$ or $(x =) \sqrt{7^2 - 6^2}$ OR either SC2 for 7.2(11.. mm) (from $\sqrt{4^2 + 6^2} = \sqrt{52}$) or SC1 for $x^2 = 4^2 + 6^2$ or $(x =) \sqrt{4^2 + 6^2}$
13(b) <u>Alternative method</u> (Use of bounds) (Side of right-angled triangle $(68 - 60) \div 2 =$) 4 (mm)) $x^2 = 7^2 - 6.5^2$ or $(x =) \sqrt{7^2 - 6.5^2}$ AND $x^2 = 7^2 - 5.5^2$ or $(x =) \sqrt{7^2 - 5.5^2}$ 4.3(30... mm) AND 2.59(8... mm) or 2.6(mm) AND indicates 'True' OR similar using 4(mm) with 5.5(mm) and 6.5(mm) working towards 7(mm)	B1 M2 A2	Allow use of 6.499(... mm) for 6.5(mm) M1 for $x^2 = 7^2 - 6.5^2$ or $(x =) \sqrt{7^2 - 6.5^2}$ OR $x^2 = 7^2 - 5.5^2$ or $(x =) \sqrt{7^2 - 5.5^2}$ A1 for 4.3(30... mm) OR 2.59(8... mm) or 2.6(mm)